

## CONTENT

History of Telehealth	2
Technology	2
Modern Applications in Emergency Medicine	3
<i>Telestroke</i>	3
<i>Teleradiology</i>	4
<i>Teletrauma</i>	5
Mobile Health & Medical Apps	5
Integration with Quality Drivers	6
Security & Risk	7
Cost of Telehealth	8
Reimbursement	8
Challenges & Opportunities	9
Future Innovation	10

## Telehealth in Emergency Medicine: A Primer

BY NEAL SIKKA, M.D., FACEP; SARA PARADISE, M.S.I.V.; AND MICHAEL SHU, M.S.I.I., GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

*Originally founded in 1998, the telehealth interest section of ACEP brings together emergency medicine practitioners interested in expanding patient care into the digital world. While not much has changed in the ideology behind telehealth since the group's initial conception, improved access to high-speed technology and a greater cultural acceptance of digital communication is revitalizing the field. The purpose of this paper, therefore, is to re-introduce the concepts of telehealth, e-care, and mobile health in light of recent advances, and give a modern take on its applications within emergency medicine.*

*This paper will give an overview of the definition of telehealth, its history, current technology, practical uses, cost and reimbursement, quality improvement measures integrated with telehealth, as well as potential risks and opportunities to its use.*

### Definition

What exactly is telehealth? Telehealth is a conglomerate of Health I.T. methods used in current medical practice to improve a patient's health via a two-way communication between a patient and practitioner at a distant site.<sup>1</sup>

This includes practices already prevalent in many emergency medicine settings, including trauma or stroke neurology consultations via real-time video connections, remote monitoring of patient vitals for ICU patients, and online decision-making aids.

Telehealth falls in three basic categories of transmission: Store and forward—or “e-care”—includes ‘asynchronous’ communication, e.g., transmitting patient data and/or digital images which are captured, stored, and sent as files to clinicians who respond with assessment; remote monitoring, which entails a central system that feeds patient information from sensors and monitoring equipment; and real-time patient management—or ‘synchronous’ communication—that uses a telecommunication link enabling instant interaction via video conferencing.<sup>2</sup>

In addition to these uses of the term, in 2009, the FCC National Broadband Plan (<http://www.broadband.gov/plan/10-healthcare/>) defined telehealth to encompass newer modalities, such as electronic health records and mobile health—or “mHealth”—currently two rapidly expanding areas of telehealth.

## History of Telehealth

While the concept of telehealth has been around for more than 40 years, it did not become feasible for use until the 1980's with the expansion of digital communication. Some of its earliest applications trace back to the 1970's, including EMS voice-based medical oversight, pre-arrival notifications, and remote transmission of ECG telemetry.<sup>3</sup>

Unfortunately, the incorporation of telehealth into practice was slow to be adapted by physicians and hospitals due to concerns regarding cost, privacy, reimbursement, as well as logistics of setting up a telehealth network. Within the past five years, access to high-speed, cost-effective technology such as 3G, 4G, and LTE networks, greater definition on reimbursement policies, and successful models demonstrating its effectiveness have increased adoption.

ACEP surveyed the uses of telehealth in the emergency medicine community to gain a better understanding of how these services are being utilized.

## Technology

Emergency telehealth faces a new frontier with the installation of high-speed technologies that allow the transfer of images and videos in an efficient fashion. The success of this transfer depends on three essential components: the speed at which the data can be transferred; the reliability of the system; and, for patient care purposes, the security of the system.

The speed at which data is transferred is known as bandwidth or “pipes,” and is measured in multiples or diminutives of Bits/seconds. The bandwidth of a system can vary widely based on the type of communication, e.g., radio vs. cellular vs. wired. (See box on page 3 for typical bandwidth speeds.)

To put this in a telehealth perspective, sending an ECG requires about 1-2 Kbps,<sup>4</sup> whereas a complete video telehealth consult requires a higher quality, more secure network; most complete video-based telehealth operations utilize 384 Kbps bandwidth speed, but 1-2 Mbps provides higher definition.<sup>5</sup>

With regard to reliability, wired technologies are less prone to latency, dropouts, or complete loss of connectivity, as they provide a constant connection that allows thorough transmission of voice, text, or images. Wireless, as one could imagine, can be more vulnerable to such inconsistencies depending on the service connectivity.<sup>3</sup>

## FUNDING ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This Primer was produced as a Section Grant Project of the American College of Emergency Physicians.

## PUBLISHER'S NOTICE

The American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) makes every effort to ensure that contributors to its publications are knowledgeable subject matter experts. Readers are nevertheless advised that the statements and opinions expressed in this publication are provided as the contributors' recommendations at the time of publication and should not be construed as official College policy. ACEP recognizes the complexity of emergency medicine and makes no representation that this publication serves as an authoritative resource for the prevention, diagnosis, treatment, or intervention for any medical condition, nor should it be the basis for the definition of or standard of care that should be practiced by all health care providers at any particular time or place. If drugs are mentioned, they generally are referred to by generic names; brand names might be added for easier recognition. Device manufacturer information, if provided, is listed according to style conventions of the American Medical Association. To the fullest extent permitted by law, and without limitation, ACEP expressly disclaims all liability for errors or omissions contained within this publication, and for damages of any kind or nature, arising out of use, reference to, reliance on, or performance of such information.

Copyright 2014, American College of Emergency Physicians, Dallas, Texas. All rights reserved. Except as permitted under the US Copyright Act of 1976, no part of this publication may be reproduced, stored, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including storage and retrieval systems, without permission in writing from the publisher. Printed in the USA.

To contact ACEP, write to PO Box 619911, Dallas TX 75261-9911; or call toll-free 800-798-1822, or 972-550-0911.

<b>Conventional Radios</b>	2 Kbps
<b>Cellular 1G</b>	1200 Bps (1981)
<b>Cellular 4G</b>	50 Mbps downstream, 360 Mbps upstream
<b>Broadband wireless</b>	600 Mbps (2007)
<b>Wired systems (LAN, Fiberoptics)</b>	10 Gbps (2003)

(Bashford, 2011)

Of utmost consideration in medicine is system security. A 3G or 4G public network, such as the Long Term Evolution (LTE) initiative in Mississippi, is an example of a public safety system that has high security without sacrificing quality.<sup>3</sup> As with all patient health information (PHI), encryption on telehealth products, following proper HIPAA compliance guidelines, should be considered a priority.

### Equipment

In addition to appropriate bandwidth speed, security, and reliability, equipment to conduct a proper videoteleconferencing (VTC) consult requires either an add-on desktop hardware program or a dedicated system that is sold with remote-controlled camera, control computer, TV monitor, CODEC software/hardware (“Coder/Decoder” which converts analog to digital technology), and microphone.<sup>6</sup>

To ease this process, a number of programs have recently been marketed for physician-patient conferencing. Two of these, VSee and Vydio, are similar to Skype in their functionality, but are advertised as having the additional benefits of being HIPAA compliant, encrypted, and run at a lower bandwidth. A teleconference system that may be used on a personal laptop, or downloaded as a free “app” for a 3G/4G cellular phone or Apple iPad, also sync with medical devices such as otoscopes, stethoscopes, and ultrasounds.

Teleconferencing white papers can be found at [http://www.ivci.com/international\\_videoconferencing\\_news\\_whitepapers.html](http://www.ivci.com/international_videoconferencing_news_whitepapers.html)

## Modern Applications of Telehealth in Emergency Medicine

The ability to interact with patients remotely is applicable in many emergency medicine settings. Whether rural or urban, access to instant, high-quality medical care may be enhanced through advanced communication techniques. As such, many emergency departments, urgent care centers, and ICUs across the country have adopted varying degrees of telehealth, ranging from monitoring vitals from afar to large, video-based telehealth consults from miles away. While the applications are limitless, we will review applications relevant to emergency medicine.

---

The ability to interact with patients remotely is applicable in many emergency medicine settings. Whether in rural or urban settings, access to instant, high-quality medical care may be enhanced through advanced communication techniques.

---

### Telehealth Consultations

ED physicians are masters at multi-tasking and triaging patients. However, some diagnoses have beneficial but risky treatments that are best administered in conjunction with other medical specialists. The emergency provider’s knowledge and experience, the patient’s acuity of care, and available facilities are all factors that may alter the level of care. We will examine the role of telehealth in the management of acute stroke, rapid interpretation of radiologic images, and management of traumatic injury as a means to create a standard mechanism for accessing high-quality care in any setting.

### Telestroke

The motto “time equals brain” signifies the importance of acute stroke intervention with t-PA, the current thrombolytic agent used for ischemic stroke.

With only a 4.5-hour window period to administer from onset of symptoms to presentation, the use of t-PA involves a series of complex decision-making processes, often best managed by an experienced provider. One such method to increase the use of t-PA is the creation of Primary Stroke Centers (PSCs), which must meet criteria of providing 24-hour, 7-day-a-week ability to diagnose and treat patients with stroke, among other strict criteria outlined by The Joint Commission.<sup>7</sup>

Unfortunately, PSC facilities represent a minority of facilities across the U.S. For this reason, the involvement of remote experts in stroke management, or “telestroke” consultations, is designed to bring the highest standard of care to patients in rural, community, and urban centers alike. This system functions

---

**Teleradiology exploded in the early 2000’s. Between 2003 and 2007 the number of providers utilizing teleradiology jumped from 15% to 50%.**

---

with a “hub and spoke” model, where the “hub” is the PSC with a vascular neurologist available for consult, and the “spokes” are non-PSC facilities staffed primarily by emergency physicians.<sup>8</sup>

One such successful program includes Remote Evaluation of Acute Ischemic Stroke (REACH), a low-cost, web-based system that provides a link between the Medical College of Georgia and eight rural community hospitals in east-central Georgia. In this model, the vascular neurologist at the “hub” site logs into the REACH website to access patient vitals, review CT images via DICOM software, and perform a video consultation over broadband internet to determine an NIHSS score and give the appropriate t-PA recommendations. In addition to improving patient outcome through rapid treatment, the requirements of the “spoke” hospital are feasible: a CT scanner capable of transmitting DICOM imaging, broadband Internet access, and other equipment costs less than \$10,000.<sup>9</sup>

It is important to highlight that PSC-underserved areas exist even in urban environments; unfortunately, a major limitation of the current model is that reimbursement is limited only to services performed in a “rural health professional shortage area” or in a “county not classified as a metropolitan statistical area.”<sup>8</sup> This loophole must be addressed to allow greater access to stroke consultation.

### **Teleradiology**

Teleradiology is a branch of telehealth in which radiologists provide remote reporting on radiologic images. The field has been widely used for more than a decade, providing a good example of the rapid change in infrastructure and the results of transitioning from an on-site to remote form of communication.

Indeed, teleradiology exploded in the early 2000’s. Between 2003 and 2007 the number of providers utilizing teleradiology jumped from 15% to 50%.<sup>10</sup> The rise in the use of these services was initially related to the rise in CT scanners in emergency departments, with “night hawks” available for off-hours shifts. As the market increased, many hospitals saw an advantage to utilizing such services at all hours; their interpretations were affordable, and provided a rapid turn-around time of 30 minutes for preliminary reports and 24 hours for final reports. The Joint Commission began to accredit companies providing teleradiology services in 2004, further establishing their place in the world of radiology.<sup>10</sup>

One of the largest providers of teleradiology currently includes the Minnesota-based VRad, which partnered with NightHawk in 2010, to expand to more than 2,700 healthcare facilities nationwide. According to CTO Rick Jennings, VRad has spent \$50 million over the last eight years building out its I.T. infrastructure, stating “we were cloud before it was called the cloud.”<sup>11</sup>

While teleradiology surely is an added benefit to emergency situations when radiologist interpretations of studies are limited, limitations to patient care exist. Coordination of care—such as compiling final reports based on follow-up examinations, imaging study comparisons, and collaborations across specialists—is

difficult to achieve. Additionally, multiple radiologists reviewing a patient's images across time may create incongruence in treatment and care. Such considerations should be taken into account as EMRs develop to provide more patient-focused care that reduces interpretation difficulties.

### Teletrauma

The “golden hour” is an important concept in trauma, as it provides rapid, excellent care to critically injured patients and improves outcomes by 25%. Unfortunately, only some 30% of the U.S. population has access to designated trauma centers within the first sixty minutes of their trauma,<sup>12</sup> posing a major public health concern.

Teletrauma, therefore, is an especially exciting field in the spectrum of emergency medicine, as it allows remote regions of the country to stay connected to provide a high standard of care. One large-scale example of such a facility is Eastern Maine Medical Center (EMMC) in Bangor, Maine. As one of the state's three regional trauma centers, it serves as the referral center for more than 20 community-level hospitals. In 2004, EMMC became the first center to conduct telehealth consultations through live audiovisual connections at eleven sites throughout the state. With initial start-up costs totaling \$70,000, maintenance of the system has been facilitated by internet provider-based services, utilizing large video screens that display the trauma bay to trauma consults at distant sites.<sup>13</sup>

Its implementation demonstrates a number of valuable lessons on the impact of teletrauma by involvement in the initial patient survey, experienced trauma surgeons can bypass obsolete practices such as “spine clearance,” suggest against CT scans and X-rays in certain cases, and provide current guidelines for reversal of therapeutic anticoagulation—all issues that have been found in less-experience providers.<sup>13</sup> Additionally, their experience with teletrauma has created an enhanced, rather than decreased sense of teamwork and partnership amongst those participating in the interactions. EMMC coined the term “the 130 million square foot trauma room”<sup>13</sup> to describe the success of their collaborative efforts.

---

Francis Collins, NIH Director, states that mHealth apps are just beginning to transition from “gee-whiz toys” to a low-cost, real-time ways to assess disease, movement, images, behavior, social interactions, environmental toxins, metabolites and a host of other physiological variables.

---

A challenging aspect to the teletrauma program at EMMC is that the trauma surgeons, while available 24/7, were often not physically able to get to computer sites that connected to the remote hospitals at the time of trauma; additionally, some providers noted difficulty navigating cumbersome computer menus.<sup>13</sup>

More recently, a solution to this problem emerged with expanding Apple iPhone technology with programs such as Facetime, which allow face-to-face video interaction with a simple WiFi connection. In the first-ever launch of its kind, EMMC began to supplement its desktop-based trauma consults with iPod Touches, where they are able to perform assessment of patients via crystal-clear video and audio capacity,<sup>14</sup> e.g., zooming on a patient's pupils during a neurological exam for a boy with craniofacial injuries.<sup>15</sup>

As with all considerations, while such programs will likely result in an overall decrease in cost, financial reimbursement for services is a concern and will likely drive the expansion or demise of such programs.

To view a video regarding telehealth and use of iPods at EMMC, visit: [http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=\\_9QW5jhuPKI](http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_9QW5jhuPKI).

### Mobile Health (mHealth) and Medical Apps

An exploding area of telehealth is mobile health or simply “mHealth”. Recently named one of the top healthcare initiatives by the director of the NIH, Francis Collins,<sup>14</sup> an estimated 84% of physicians are

already using smartphones, with 25% more also using tablets to access the over 13,000+ smartphone apps available for medical-decision making.<sup>16</sup> Collins states that mHealth apps are just beginning to transition from “gee-whiz toys” to a low-cost, real-time ways to assess disease, movement, images, behavior, social interactions, environmental toxins, metabolites and a host of other physiological variables.<sup>14</sup>

A promising use of mHealth in the emergency setting is for acute wound assessment. A recent study at the George Washington University in Washington, D.C., studied images taken by 94 patients with acute wound lacerations over an 8-month period. Patients provided a medical history, took four pictures of their lacerations, and were assessed by ED providers about need for repair; the same provider then assessed the patient in-person. The study found concordant decision-making between mobile and in-person assessments to be 87% ( $\kappa$  statistic=0.65), with the degree of under-triage due to poor image quality or poor representation of the problem to be 5 out of 94, or 5%. Limitations included variety of phones used for assessment, differing picture quality, and lack of safety and cost-impact assessments.<sup>17</sup>

### Medical Apps

“The use of mobile medical apps on smart phones and tablets is revolutionizing health care delivery,” said Jeffrey Shuren, M.D., J.D., director of the FDA’s Center for Devices and Radiological Health.<sup>18</sup> With the advent of the iPhone and iPad, software has been made to give emergency physicians the ability to view sensitive patient images for use in medical management.

Mobile MIM is one such free app. Approved for use by the FDA in 2011, it transfers radiologic images from the hospital and transfers them securely to other appropriate portable wireless devices via cloud-based DICOM software. “This important mobile technology provides physicians with the ability to immediately view images and make diagnoses without having to be back at the workstation or wait for film,” said William Maisel, M.D., M.P.H., chief scientist and deputy director for science in the FDA’s Center for Devices and

Radiological Health.<sup>18</sup> Following its induction in February 2011, a six month analysis of its portable device characteristics and accessibility showed that all performance requirements met intended specifications, and “that Mobile MIM (RT) provides a safe and effective diagnostic viewer of the following medical imaging modalities: SPECT, PET, CT, MRI, X-ray and ultrasound.”<sup>19</sup>

Patient use of mobile health apps is also on the rise. The market for medical applications for patients reached \$718 million in 2011; Apple and Google serving as vanguards with over 500,000 apps each.<sup>20</sup> One such consumer app is iTriage, a free app created by

---

Patient use of mobile health apps is also on the rise. The market for medical applications for patients reached \$718 million in 2011; Apple and Google serving as vanguard with over 500,000 apps each.

---

two ED physicians in 2008 that “helps you answer the questions: What medical condition could I have? Where should I go for treatment? Save, easily access, and share the healthcare information that’s most important to you.” Acquired by Aetna in 2013, criticism of such apps includes patients being directed to specific “endorsed” EDs, introducing financial influences beyond patient care needs.<sup>21</sup>

### Integration with Quality Drivers: Electronic Health Records

Electronic health records are a large part of the revolution of telehealth, and while a thorough discussion of their implication is not the intent of this paper, we will discuss their importance in addressing some relevant quality improvement issues of which emergency physicians should be aware.

In February 2009, the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) included the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical

---

Remote access to patients can theoretically save travel time, result in fewer transfers, earlier intervention and access with lower “global cost” for services and social consequences, and lower readmission rates, among others.

---

Health (HITECH) provisions, which established financial incentives of up to \$44,000 per provider for demonstrating “meaningful use” of electronic health records (EHRs); though if not met by 2015, financial penalties will be imposed.<sup>22</sup> ARRA spurred a rapid increase in use of EHRs, increasing provider and hospital use from 17 and 8 percent, respectively, to a goal more than 50% of providers and 80% of hospitals demonstrating meaningful use as of 2013.<sup>23</sup>

Much of the support for EHR adoption was in anticipation of the 2010 passage of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), which focuses on incorporating public health measures into our current health-care system. Specifically, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) has begun a quality improvement process that includes quarterly reporting and publication of specific data measures related to diseases such as acute myocardial infarction, heart failure, pneumonia, and surgical care. For example, all patients admitted to a hospital with a diagnosis of congestive heart failure must receive smoking cessation counseling if currently smoking, prescription of an ACE-inhibitor or ARB if ejection fraction is less than 40%, and appropriate discharge education and follow-up is established. Additionally, for Medicare patients more than 65 years of age, all-cause mortality and readmission rates are reviewed as part of value-based purchasing for hospitals.<sup>24</sup>

The aforementioned examples present a unique challenge for facilities, as these new guidelines require extensive implication of processes to ensure that measures are being met and to avoid financial penalties.

Concerns about privacy and security, legal and regulatory barriers, and technical concerns all factor into the implementation of what the federal government, patients, and physicians alike hope will provide a more quality-oriented, coordinated care system through more robust use of EHRs.<sup>24</sup>

The impact that quality drivers will have on emergency departments has yet to be determined, though most likely they will be similar to inpatient parameters: decreasing repeat visits for the same problem and focusing on outpatient-based, clinic or office-based care for non-emergent problems.

## Security and Risk in Telehealth

Similar to conventional medicine, a telehealth clinician has the same duty to safeguard a patient’s medical records and keep the treatment confidential under HIPAA. All patient data, including electronic files, images, audio/video tapes, etc., must be handled with utmost confidentiality.<sup>25</sup>

Telehealth presents some unique challenges to security. The method of telehealth may change the security concerns. For example, a patient participating in a video consultation may be concerned about other persons in the room, whereas someone with remote monitoring might worry about physical safety and reliability of the device. Without affecting any of the implications for providers, many of the security concerns may be related to patient demographic. Research suggests younger patients are familiar with the use of such advanced technology and therefore may be less concerned about confidentiality than older generations,<sup>26</sup> though this does not change how the clinician should handle patient data.

Regarding legal implications to ED providers, as of 2009, there had been no malpractice claims related to the use of telehealth, attributed to the fact that it is a relatively new technology.<sup>27</sup> One can imagine that with increasing use will come increasing concerns over liability exposure.

For more on legal and regulatory barriers to the expansion of telehealth, visit <http://www.ctel.org/>.

## Cost of Telehealth

While current studies are equivocal on cost savings of telehealth, it is often touted as a means to reduce spending. Remote access to patients can theoretically save travel time, result in fewer transfers, provide earlier intervention and access with lower “global cost” for services and social consequences, and lower readmission rates, among others.<sup>28</sup>

Of course, there are expenses to the set-up and maintenance of such systems. Standard equipment needed for a full telehealth suite include: televideo monitor with camera, initial network setup, patient monitoring devices, a room with well-adjusted lighting, security, and sound for clinical events.

---

There are many exciting opportunities and remaining challenges to the continued implementation of successful telehealth programs within the field of emergency medicine.

---

Operational costs include telehealth personnel, consultant costs, I.T. support, equipment licenses, monthly connectivity charges, and clinical/medical records management to get information to and from distant sites.

Different sites have used various means to offset the costs of building and maintaining a telehealth suite. Federal or state grants, in addition to foundation grants, venture capital, internal funds, or other private donations are all viable options.<sup>29</sup> The Southern Arizona Telemedicine and Telepresence Association (SATT), for example, received generous donations from private insurance companies and also received state and federal funding totaling \$1.2 million/year in their first 4 years.<sup>30</sup>

On its website, Eastern Maine Medical Center detailed start-up costs approximating \$70,000 and monthly charges for network maintenance averaging \$1,000. Oklahoma State University Center for Health

Sciences<sup>31</sup> details the costs of its telehealth suite to be approximately \$25,000 plus maintenance costs, with the following estimates in 2013:

- \$8,000 for telehealth consultant suite (\$7,000 for the interactive video display, \$1,000 for telecommunications)
- \$16,600 for patient suite (\$9,600 for a video cart, \$6,000 for an AMD Derm Camera, and \$1,000 for telecommunications)
- Maintenance fees of \$400/month (for ~30 patients with an average visit length of 30 minutes)
- Optional additional teleradiology costs: \$12,000 for the hospital suite site and \$15,000 for the patient site.

In addition to mobile health apps, aforementioned technologies such as VSee provide a less expensive alternative to implementing entire telehealth video consultations. Basic VSee telehealth “kits”, which include a laptop, HD webcam, electronic stethoscope, otoscope, and one-year subscription cost \$6,000, whereas an advanced kit containing a pan-tilt camera, portable EKG, and portable ultrasound cost about \$18,000.<sup>32</sup>

## Reimbursement

Telehealth reimbursement is a complex challenge, as no consistent pattern of coverage and reimbursement has emerged for the variety of applications of telehealth.<sup>33</sup> However, with more government support of telehealth, private insurers are following suit.

### Medicare & Medicaid

In recent years, Congress has further defined Medicare policies for physician reimbursement to encourage use of telehealth services and ensure sustainability of telehealth as a mode of healthcare delivery.<sup>34</sup> The current regulations state that clinicians can be reimbursed for real-time, video-based consultations at the same rate as face-to-face care, but Medicare will not reimburse for store-and-forward applications such as audio, video or images captured (unless in Alaska or Hawaii).

Some regulations are in place regarding coverage. The originating site (where the patient is located) must be see-

**Table 1**  
**2012 Medicare (HSPCS) Telehealth Descriptor Codes for the Emergency Department**

Code	Descriptor	Time Spent	RVU's
G0425	Emergency Departelehealthent or initial inpatient telehealth consultation	30 minutes	2.96
G0426	Emergency Departelehealthent or initial inpatient telehealth consultation	50 minutes	4.03
G0427	Emergency Departelehealthent or initial inpatient telehealth consultation	70 minutes	5.92
GT Modifier	"Via interactive audio & video telecommunications system"	Used by providers at distant site to bill for real-time consultations	
GQ Modifier	"Via asynchronous telecommunications system"	Used by providers participating in federal telehealth program in Alaska or Hawaii to bill for store-and-forward technology	

Source: Association, A. T. (2012, 1). *Medicare Payment of Telemedicine and Telehealth Services, January 2012*. Retrieved Oct. 5, 2012, from American Telemedicine Association: <http://www.americantelemed.org/files/public/policy/medicaretelemedicine2012.pdf>

ing an eligible Medicare beneficiary in an eligible facility located within a primary care Health Professional Shortage Area (HPSA), and/or outside of a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA). These criteria include sites with less than one primary care physician per 3,500 people, and no city with more than 50,000 inhabitants.<sup>34</sup> In contrast, the referring site (where the consulting physician is located) has no limitations on location.

Claims for reimbursement must be submitted with the appropriate CPT code, in addition to a GT modifier to indicate that care was delivered via an interactive audio and video telecommunications system. The originating site can additionally bill a telehealth facility fee using the HCPCS code Q3014 (about \$20.00). (See Table 1 for billing codes used for telehealth interactions.)

Under Medicaid, each state sets its own reimbursement policies, which can vary depending on use at a fee-for-service or a managed care provider, with fee-for-service states traditionally being more willing to reimburse. As of April 2014, 43 state Medicaid programs, plus the District of Columbia, provided at least some reimbursement for telehealth services, with behavioral health experiencing the most rapid expansion of reimbursement policies.<sup>35, 36</sup>

For state-by-state policies, visit the ATA wikis at <http://www.americantelemed.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageID=3604>, or visit <http://ctel.org/expertise/reimbursement/medicaid-reimbursement/>.

### Private Insurance

Historically, a large barrier to growth of telehealth has been the conceived lack of private-payer reimbursement. As of April 2014, 19 states and the District of Columbia required third-party payers to reimburse for teleconsult as they would for in-person consults, with Arizona joining the list in January 2015. Current states include Colorado, D.C., Georgia, Hawaii, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Hampshire, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, Texas, Vermont, and Virginia.<sup>36</sup> For state-by-state telehealth reimbursement information, go to: <http://www.ncsl.org/issues-research/health/state-coverage-for-telehealth-services.aspx>.

### Challenges and Opportunities

There are many exciting opportunities and remaining challenges to the continued implementation of successful telehealth programs within the field of emergency medicine. Opportunities include creating a more cost-effective healthcare system by reducing unnecessary transfers, consolidating healthcare records and visits through EMRs, and increasing access to high-quality stroke and trauma care regardless of a patient's geographic location.

Major challenges to the field have historically included a lack of financial reimbursement for telehealth visits, social adaptability to such changes both

within and outside of the healthcare community, and the technology itself being expensive or cumbersome. Many of these challenges are soon to be overcome. For example, as stated above, reimbursement is becoming more widely adopted following Medicaid's lead.

Interestingly, social acceptance of telehealth has been a large barrier to its growth. In an era where video chatting, social media, and movies like *Avatar* are commonplace, it is surprising to think of this as a major limiting factor in its widespread adoption, but deep-seated feelings by both patients and providers have been observed. Many providers, for example, have misconceptions that the use of a video-based telehealth visit or consult will decrease patient-provider relationships, and be poorly accepted by patients. In fact, research both in the U.S. and worldwide has shown high acceptance and satisfaction, in general, with telehealth interactions. Suggestions to overcome the potential discordance have been introduced, and include beginning with a pilot launch and then expanding gradually.<sup>37</sup>

## Future Innovation within Telehealth

Indeed, just as the use of iPod's to perform trauma consults was an easy solution to connection problems experienced by surgeons in Maine, there are many other common-sense, affordable solutions to inefficiencies in healthcare.

Additional examples have been proposed through research by Joseph Kvedar, M.D., director of the Center for Connected Health at Partners HealthCare System. He has taken the conversation regarding social adaptation of technology one step further to research automation in the healthcare world; why we feel a "face-to-face" interaction is necessary to form a relationship with a provider, and how we can incorporate digitalization into our clinical encounters.

By comparing pet rocks and Tamagotchis to our trusted pets, he demonstrates that humans are able to connect with automated figures in a "real" way. For example, when diabetic patients met with a virtual coach, "Karen," three times a week, they increased their step counts by three-fold as opposed to those who didn't. Surprisingly, they also state a prefer-

ence for an automated coach as opposed to a human, as the "robot" was non-judgmental, and had time to coach the patient without rushing. Perhaps, he argues, automation can be used to augment patients' satisfaction with care rather than detract from it.<sup>38</sup>

## Conclusion

Telehealth is an exciting, continually evolving use of technology that provides an innovative way of organizing emergency department workflows across the country, allowing for optimal and efficient patient care. Continued funding by federal, state, local and private sectors will expand widespread adoption of telehealth.

## Notes

- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. (n.d.). *Telehealth*. Retrieved Aug. 16, 2012, from *Medicaid.gov*.
- American Telemedicine Association. Retrieved Aug. 16, 2012, from <http://atawiki.org>.
- Zachariah, B., and Pepe, P., "The Development of Emergency Medical Dispatch in the USA: A Historical Perspective," *European Journal of Emergency Medicine*, Vol. 3 (September 1995). Retrieved from <http://www.emergencydispatch.org/articles/historicalperspective1.htm>.
- Bashford, C. *Thinking about EMS Telehealth? General Devices*. (Sept. 28, 2011). Retrieved Sept 26, 2012, from [http://www.general-devices.com/files/learning\\_pdf/EMS\\_World\\_Article.pdf](http://www.general-devices.com/files/learning_pdf/EMS_World_Article.pdf).
- Frequently Asked Questions. (n.d.). University of Kansas Center of Telehealth and Telehealth. Retrieved Sept. 22, 2013, from <http://www.kumc.edu/community-engagement/ku-center-for-telemedicine-and-telehealth/about-us/frequently-asked-questions.html>.
- National Security Agency, N.S. (n.d.). *Video Teleconferencing*. Retrieved Sept. 20, 2013, from [http://www.nsa.gov/ia/\\_files/factsheets/Video\\_Teleconferencing.pdf](http://www.nsa.gov/ia/_files/factsheets/Video_Teleconferencing.pdf).
- The Joint Commission. *Advanced Certification for Primary Stroke Centers*. (2013) Retrieved Feb. 20, 2013 from [http://www.jointcommission.org/certification/primary\\_stroke\\_centers.aspx](http://www.jointcommission.org/certification/primary_stroke_centers.aspx).
- Demaerschalk, Bart M. "Stroke Telemedicine," *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, Vol. 84, No. 1, (January 2009).
- Hess, D., Wang, S., Gross, H., Hall, C., & Adams, R. "Telestroke: Extending Stroke Expertise into Underserved Areas," *The Lancet Neurology*, Vol. 3, No. 5 (March 2006).
- Steinbrook, R. M. "The Age of Teleradiology," *The New England Journal of Medicine* (July 5, 2007).

11. Versel, N. "VRad Extends Cloud Radiology Services," *Information Week* (Sept. 12, 2011).
12. Zamora, D. R. (2011). "iPod Teletrauma: The \$229 130-million sq. Foot Trauma Room," Dirigo, Maine.
13. Bjorn, P. R. "Rural Teletrauma: Applications, Opportunities, Challenges," *Advanced Emergency Nursing Journal* (July 2012).
14. Collins, F. "The Real Promise of Mobile Health Apps," *Scientific American* (July 7, 2012). Retrieved Sept. 28, 2012, from <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=real-promise-mobile-health-apps>.
15. Eastern Maine Medical Center, "Eastern Maine Medical Center, First Ever Use of iPod® For Teletrauma," press release (March 17, 2011). Retrieved Sept. 16, 2012, from <http://www.emmc.org/assets/0/274/306/3268/6904/20940/888c720f-cfff-41e6-9160-1f78bc69aa9f.pdf>.
16. Dolan, B. "Report: 13K iPhone Consumer Health Apps in 2012," *Mobile Health News*. Retrieved Sept. 13, 2012, from <http://mobihealthnews.com/13368/report-13k-iphone-consumer-health-apps-in-2012/>.
17. Sikka, N., Pirri, M., Carlin, K., Strauss, R., Rahimi, F., & Pines, J., "The Use of Mobile Phone Cameras in Guiding Treatment Decisions for Laceration Care," *Telemedicine Journal and e-Health*, 18(7) 554-7 (2012).
18. Jefferson, Erica. *FDA Clears First Diagnostic Radiology Application for Mobile Devices*. Retrieved Jan. 17, 2013 from FDA: <http://www.fda.gov/NewsEvents/Newsroom/PressAnnouncements/ucm242295.htm>.
19. Hanigan, Lynn. *510(k) Summary of Safety and Effectiveness*. Retrieved Jan. 17, 2013, from FDA: [http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh\\_docs/pdf11/K112930.pdf](http://www.accessdata.fda.gov/cdrh_docs/pdf11/K112930.pdf).
20. Obiodu, Vivian. & Obiodu, Emeka. "An Empirical Review of the Top 500 Medical Apps in a European Android Market," *Journal of Mobile Technology in Medicine* (Dec. 21, 2012).
21. iTriage Health. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.itriage-health.com/>.
22. Adler-Milstein, J., DesRoches, C. M., and Jha, A. (2011). "Health Information Exchange Among U.S. Hospitals," *American Journal of Managed Care*, 17(11), 761-8. Retrieved from <http://www.ajmc.com/publications/issue/2011/2011-11-Vol17-n11/Health-Information-Exchange-Among-US-Hospitals/>.
23. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (n.d.). *Doctors and Hospitals' Use of Health IT More than Doubles Since 2012*. Retrieved from <http://www.hhs.gov/news/press/2013pres/05/20130522a.html>.
24. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, Health Services Advisory Group. *National Impact Assessment of Medicare Quality Measures*. (2012). Retrieved from <http://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Quality-Initiatives-Patient-Assessment-Instruments/QualityMeasures/Downloads/NationalImpactAssessmentofQualityMeasuresFINAL.pdf>.
25. Privacy, Confidentiality, and Security. (n.d.). Retrieved Sept. 30, 2012, from Telehealth Resource Centers: <http://www.telehealthresourcecenter.org/toolbox-module/privacy-confidentiality-and-security>.
26. Garg, V., and Brewer, J., "Telemedicine Security: A Systematic Review," *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology* (May 2011).
27. Natoli, C. (2009, 12). *Summary of Findings: Malpractice and Telemedicine*. Retrieved Oct. 5, 2012, from <http://www.ctel.org/research/Summary%20of%20Findings%20Malpractice%20and%20Telemedicine.pdf>.
28. Telehealth Project of Minnesota. (n.d.). TeleHealth Project. Retrieved Oct. 5, 2012, from <http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/orhpc/rhac/minutes/handouts/51909/brand.pdf>.
29. Minnesota Department of Health, Office of Rural Health and Primary Care, *Minnesota Telehealth Inventory 2007* (August 2007) Retrieved Oct. 5, 2012, from <http://www.mti.umn.edu/Minnesota%20Telehealth%20Inventory%20Report%202007.pdf>.
30. Latifi, R. "Telepresence and Telemedicine in Trauma and Emergency Care Management," *Studies in Health Informatics and Technology* (2004).
31. Oklahoma State University Center for Health Sciences. (n.d.). *Services and Costs*. Retrieved Oct. 5, 2012, from Telemedicine and Distance Learning, [http://www.healthsciences.okstate.edu/telemedicine/services\\_cost.cfm](http://www.healthsciences.okstate.edu/telemedicine/services_cost.cfm).
32. VSee. Retrieved Sept. 23, 2013, from <http://www.vsee.com>.
33. Bjorn, P. R. "Rural Teletrauma: Applications, Opportunities, Challenges," *Advanced Emergency Nursing Journal*, Vol. 34, No. 3 (2012).
34. Britain, C. (n.d.). *Medicare & Medicaid Telemedicine Reimbursement: An Overview*. Retrieved May 5, 2012, from Upper Midwest Telehealth Resource Center: <http://www.umtrc.org/clientuploads/directory/Resources/Medicare%20&%20Medicaid%20Telemedicine%20Reimbursement%20An%20Overview.pdf>.
35. American Telemedicine Association. *Medicare Payment of Telemedicine and Telehealth Services* (January 2012). Retrieved Oct. 5, 2012, from <http://www.americantelemed.org/files/public/policy/medicaretelemedicine2012.pdf>.
36. National Conference of State Legislatures. Retrieved from State Coverage for Telehealth Services, <http://www.ncsl.org/issues-research/health/state-coverage-for-telehealth-services.aspx>.
37. Whitten, P., Holtz, B., & Laplante, C. "Telemedicine: What have we learned? Applied Clinical Information (May 5, 2010).
38. Kvedar, D. J. *Emotional Automation: A Critical Component of Healthcare's Future*, Boston, MA. (October 2010). Retrieved from <http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7DQGNQRVhjl>.