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Background

- There are over 2 million Emergency Department visits for suspected renal colic (RC) in the U.S. annually
- Computed tomography (CT) is accurate for diagnosis but carries potential radiation risk, increases cost, and has not been shown to alter patient-centered outcomes
- Alternative imaging including ultrasound (US) may be used, but perspectives on imaging may differ by specialty
- We sought to develop a nationally representative multi-specialty panel to seek evidence-based consensus on RC scenarios where CT might be avoided
Methods

- Under ACEP Emergency Quality Network (eQual) a nine-member expert panel convened with representatives from:
  - American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP)
  - American College of Radiology (ACR)
  - American Urological Association (AUA)

- Following a systematic review of literature, the panel created 29 clinical vignettes for suspected RC in which CT might not be the optimal imaging approach.
PRISMA flow diagram and evidence grading

Records identified through Pubmed and Embase database search (n = 6,337)

Full-text articles assessed for eligibility (n = 859)

Articles eligible for full text review (n=232)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grading of Evidence</th>
<th>one</th>
<th>two</th>
<th>three</th>
<th>n/a</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relevance One</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Records excluded after abstract review for relevance (n = 5,478)

Records excluded after full text review for relevance (n = 627)

Studies included in qualitative synthesis (n = 232)

* n/a Articles: These articles are prevalence studies where the hybrid rating tool used was not the appropriate grading tool however article’s topic closely aligned with PICO.
Vignettes

- The vignettes varied with different patient ages, likelihood of stone, gender, clinical presentations, and special populations.
- Uncomplicated stone was assumed in all vignettes (no signs of infection, no pre-existing renal disease)
A 55 year-old male with no prior history of kidney stones presents with an acute onset of flank pain over the last 3 hours. He reports nausea with vomiting and has hematuria on urine dip. He has no abdominal tenderness. His pain is relieved after intravenous analgesia.
Vignette Imaging Options

The imaging modalities options the panel selected from were:

- No imaging
- Point-of-care US
- Radiology performed US
- Reduced radiation CT
- Standard non-contrast CT
- CT with IV contrast
Methods

- A modified Delphi approach with 3 rounds of voting was completed.

- Consensus was defined a priori as:
  - Perfect (9/9 panel members)
  - Excellent (8/9)
  - Good (6/9 or 7/9)
  - Moderate (5/9)
  - No Consensus (< 5/9)

- Imaging modalities were grouped as:
  - No imaging
  - Any ultrasound
  - Any CT
Results

Imaging Recommendations Consensus through the Voting Rounds

- **Round 1**: 21% Perfect Consensus (9/9), 38% Excellent Consensus (8/9), 24% Good Consensus (6/9 or 7/9), 10% Moderate Consensus (5/9), 7% No Consensus Reached (<5/9)
- **Round 2**: 29% Perfect Consensus (9/9), 21% Excellent Consensus (8/9), 21% Good Consensus (6/9 or 7/9), 14% Moderate Consensus (5/9), 3% No Consensus Reached (<5/9)
- **Round 3**: 52% Perfect Consensus (9/9), 28% Excellent Consensus (8/9), 10% Good Consensus (6/9 or 7/9), 10% Moderate Consensus (5/9), 0% No Consensus Reached (<5/9)
Vignettes:

1. A 35 year-old male with two prior kidney stones that passed spontaneously presents with an acute onset flank pain over the last 3 hours. He reports nausea with vomiting and has hematuria on urine dip. He has no abdominal tenderness. His pain is relieved after intravenous analgesics.

   Agreement: Moderate
   Answers: 5 POCUS, 4 No Imaging

2. A 55 year-old male with two prior kidney stones that passed spontaneously presents with an acute onset of flank pain over the last 3 hours. He reports nausea with vomiting and has hematuria on urine dip. He has no abdominal tenderness. His pain is relieved after intravenous analgesics.

   Agreement: Moderate
   Answers: 5 No Imaging, 4 POCUS

3. A 75 year-old male with two prior kidney stones that passed spontaneously presents with an acute onset of flank pain over the last 3 hours. He reports nausea with vomiting and has hematuria on urine dip. He has no abdominal tenderness. His pain is relieved after intravenous analgesics.

   Agreement: Good
   Answers: 7 RDCT, 2 US (1 POCUS, 1 RPUS)
Vignettes and Consensus – 29 total

Vignettes:

4. A 35 year-old male with no prior history of kidney stones presents with an acute onset of flank pain over the last 3 hours. He reports nausea with vomiting and has hematuria on urine dip. He has no abdominal tenderness. His pain is relieved after intravenous analgesics.

5. A 55 year-old male with no prior history of kidney stones presents with an acute onset of flank pain over the last 3 hours. He reports nausea with vomiting and has hematuria on urine dip. He has no abdominal tenderness. His pain is relieved after intravenous analgesics.
Results

Expert Panel’s Imaging Recommendations for Vignettes

- No Further Imaging: 45%
- Ultrasound: 31%
- Reduced Radiation CT: 21%
- Non-Contrast CT: 3%
Take Home Points

- When can CT be avoided as the first line of imaging?
  - Patients presenting with signs and symptoms of uncomplicated stone
    - Younger patients (~35 years old) without prior history of stone
    - Middle-aged patients (~55 years old) with history of kidney stone
  - In older patients (~75 years old) CT should generally be obtained
- Point-of-care ultrasound may help guide clinicians
- Pregnant and pediatric patients should have radiology performed ultrasound as the initial imaging modality
Conclusion

• Through a modified Delphi approach, perfect consensus was reached for more than half of clinical vignettes

• Consensus was achieved that CT could be avoided in 22 vignettes (75%)

• When needed, reduced radiation CT should be performed.
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- **Dose Optimization for Stone Evaluation**
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