Performance Measures
Topic Scoring Rubric

Concepts for measure development that are submitted for consideration will be reviewed and prioritized according to a number of criteria including strength of evidence base, opportunity for improvement, and impact on emergency medicine. When completing the topic submission form, please try to address the below criteria to the best of your ability to ensure fair evaluation across topics and concepts.

Evaluation Criteria for Proposed Measure Concepts:

Criterion 1: Is this measure concept within the control an individual emergency medicine provider or group of emergency clinicians? Can the measure concept distinguish between good and poor quality among individual emergency clinicians?

Evaluation criteria:
- Yes (3)
- Somewhat (2)
- No - STOP

Criterion 2: Impact of Measure Concept on Emergency Medicine

Evaluation criteria:
- High (3) Concept will have a large, direct impact on emergency medicine
- Medium (2) Concept will have moderate impact on emergency medicine, will have similar impact other specialties
- Low (1) Concept will have a minimal or indirect impact on emergency medicine

Criterion 3: Type of Measure

Evaluation criteria: +1 for outcome measures

Criterion 4: Gap in Care/Opportunity for Improvement Associated with Measure Concept

Evaluation criteria:
- If there’s some type of literature to support – 2
- No literature, but consensus of a gap - 1
- If unknown – STOP
Criterion 5: Measurement Gap (Are there already measures addressing this concept? Does the measure concept address a gap in the CEDR measure portfolio?)

Evaluation criteria:
- High (3) No relevant measures exist
- Medium (2) – some similar measure exist but are problematic, not directly related, or at different level of accountability (e.g., facility-level)
- Low (1) – there are measures addressing the same target population and the same measure focus

Criterion 6: Feasibility

(Could the measure data be captured using data readily available? Extent to which the specifications including measure logic, require data that are readily available or could be captured without undue burden and can be implemented for performance measurement.)

Evaluation criteria:
- High (3) Data required for measure concept is currently easily available
- Medium (2) Data required for measure concept is available with some challenges or will require a combination of data sources
- Low (1) Data required for measure will require manual abstraction

Criterion 7: Potential Cost Benefit to Healthcare System or Hospital Associated with Measure Concept

Evaluation criteria:
- High (3) Complements existing hospital objectives or measures and obtained with minimal hospital burden
- Medium (2) Either of the above
- Low (1) Not aligned with any existing hospital measures and high hospital burden for measurement