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Abstract

Over the past 25 years, research performed by emergency physicians (EPs) demonstrates that bedside ultrasound (US) can improve the care of emergency department (ED) patients. At the request of the Council of Emergency Medicine Residency Directors (CORD), leaders in the field of emergency medicine (EM) US met to delineate in consensus fashion the model “US curriculum” for EM residency training programs. The goal of this article is to provide a framework for providing US education to EM residents. These guidelines should serve as a foundation for the growth of resident education in EM US. The intent of these guidelines is to provide minimum education standards for all EM residency programs to refer to when establishing an EUS training program. The document focuses on US curriculum, US education, and competency assessment. The use of US in the management of critically ill patients will improve patient care and thus should be viewed as a required skill set for all future graduating EM residents. The authors consider EUS skills critical to the development of an emergency physician, and a minimum skill set should be mandatory for all graduating EM residents. The US education provided to EM residents should be structured to allow residents to incorporate US into daily clinical practice. Image acquisition and interpretation alone are insufficient. The ability to integrate findings with patient care and apply them in a busy clinical environment should be stressed.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past 25 years, research performed by emergency physicians (EPs) has demonstrated that bedside ultrasound (US) can improve care of emergency department (ED) patients. In 2007, the Model of the Clinical Practice of Emergency Medicine considered bedside ultrasonography to be a “skill integral to the practice of emergency medicine.” In response to the adoption of US technology, emergency medicine (EM) residency programs increased resources and dedicated time to teaching competency in US applications. However, at the present time there is wide variability in the emergency medicine US (EM US) training that residents experience at various programs, which has led to the perception that some graduates lack adequate training. Therefore, at the request of the Council of Emergency Medicine Residency Directors (CORD), leaders in the field of EM US met to delineate in consensus fashion the model US curriculum for EM residency training programs.

The goal of this article is to provide a framework for providing US education to EM residents. These guidelines should serve as a foundation for the growth of resident education in EM US. As EPs integrate US into patient care in the ED, we expect that these suggestions...
will adapt to the EM training environment. The intent of these guidelines is to provide minimum education standards for all EM residency programs to refer to when establishing an EM US training program. Although many of these resources are not widely available to all programs, the consensus conference participants believe that these guidelines can serve as a "best practices" template to assist residency programs in their planning and development efforts.

Conference Planning
At the 2007 annual meeting of the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine (SAEM), several members approached the SAEM Emergency Medicine Ultrasound interest group with the goal of devising a model curriculum for EM US education. With support from the CORD Board of Directors, a portion of the CORD Annual Academic Assembly was devoted to organizing a group that would meet to delineate in consensus fashion a model US curriculum, outline “best practices” of implementing US education, and identify methods to assess competency. Approximately 5 months before the consensus conference, members of the organizing committee drafted three outlines of preliminary recommendations. To facilitate communication and discussion among participating members and organizers, an online discussion blog was created that allowed for open comment and discussion in each of the principal areas before the conference. Organizers incorporated online comments into the elements that were presented at the consensus conference. The pre-conference online blog consisted of 46 members who represented the EM US education community.

Conference Structure and Content
More than 40 members of CORD, SAEM, and the American College of Emergency Physicians (ACEP) attended the 3-hour consensus conference in New Orleans in 2008. Participants consisted of EM Program Directors, EM US Directors, and educators responsible for US education at their respective institutions. The organizers posted each element of each section online for open comment and discussion. A scribe collected comments specifically related to each element. Each element of the document was altered whenever the group reached consensus agreement. After the conference, a draft document was revised and then posted again online for further open discussion. The draft version was next presented to the CORD membership in October 2008 and then posted again for open online commentary on the CORD mailing list server at the end of 2008 and beginning of 2009. The document underwent one final revision before submission for publication.

Ultrasound Curriculum

The core applications for EM US mirror the field of EM in its breadth. These core applications are:

1. Evaluation of the trauma patient
2. Imaging in pregnancy
3. Aortic imaging
4. Emergent cardiac imaging
5. Biliary imaging
6. Renal imaging
7. Evaluation of deep venous thrombosis
8. Thoracic imaging
9. Soft-tissue and musculoskeletal imaging
10. Procedural imaging

From these core applications, EPs can broadly divide US applications into two categories, each integral to the practice of EM. One category involves the medical care of critically injured patients or patients who face a potentially life-threatening condition. In these time-sensitive scenarios, the ability to acquire and interpret US images immediately can be life saving. The second category involves the use of focused US in situations that may not be immediately life threatening but ultimately may provide benefit and improve patient care and outcomes.

The CORD Emergency Ultrasound Consensus Committee (EUCC) recognizes that EM US figures prominently in critical, life-threatening scenarios. In light of the effect of sonography in such scenarios, all EM residency programs will aim to ensure the competency of their graduates in the following core EM US applications.

1) Focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST)
2) Emergent cardiac imaging
3) Evaluation of the aorta for abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA)
4) Identification of early intrauterine pregnancy via transabdominal and transvaginal sonography
5) Procedural guidance for ED procedures

The CORD EUCC recognizes that EM US figures prominently in other patient encounters in which patient care improves with information from focused bedside US examinations. In these scenarios, EM US provides significant information that facilitates decision-making in emergency cases. In recognition of the benefits of EM US for patients who present to the ED, we highly recommend that all residents graduate with the following EM US skills: identification of gallbladder pathology; detection of deep venous thrombosis; recognition of hydronephrosis and determination of bladder volume; detection of subcutaneous fluid collection, abscess, and foreign body; identification of pneumothorax; and identification of ocular pathology.

Ultrasound Education

Resources. It is recommended that all EM residency programs specifically identify a full-time faculty member as its EM US Director or Coordinator, with the institutional support and skill sets capable of implementing all aspects of the educational program as described below. In addition to the EM US Director or Coordinator, it is recommended that a minimum of 50% of the required number of “core faculty” members at all EM residency programs be designated as “core US faculty” and be credentialed by the host institution in the use of US. For example, if a program has a core faculty requirement of 12, then a minimum of six core US faculty should be designated. This may be inclusive
of the EM US Director or Coordinator. Also, the core US faculty may be selected from EM core or non-core faculty. Each program should develop, demonstrate, and retain performance measures for the core US faculty. This faculty group should be available to supervise and educate its residents in EM US and teach the core applications.

All EM residency training programs should provide access to appropriate US equipment (systems with an adequate array of transducers and imaging resolution), and these US systems should be available during a resident’s clinical experience at all times. All EM residency programs should maintain textbooks covering at least EM US and US physics in their respective libraries. In addition, residents should be exposed to the current and historical literature concerning all EM US applications, and faculty should make every attempt to involve the residents in any current or future research projects.

**Educational Program** All EM residents shall be provided introductory instruction in EM US early in their EM training programs. This training should include didactic and hands-on sessions covering critical EM US examinations and procedures and interpretation, as well as basic US physics and knobology. It is recommended that this orientation be given in the form of a 1-day course. During residency, a minimum of 2 weeks in a dedicated EM US rotation, or an equivalent of 80 hours, should be completed. A portion of this time should ideally occur in the first year of residency training. The residents should be offered educational sessions and hands-on workshops in addition to US scanning time in the ED with active patients. Recommendations for the rotation experience include:

- Didactic sessions covering basic and advanced EM US.
- Scheduled reading assignments in preferred textbooks or journals.
- Access to other educational modalities, including CD/DVD.
- Access to a question bank on EM US applications.
- Scheduled shifts devoted to performing US examinations and procedures. A significant portion of these shifts should be done with a qualified faculty member to provide direct instruction on US scanning technique.
- Direct or indirect review of a majority of the resident’s images by qualified faculty to provide feedback on scanning technique, image acquisition, and interpretation.
- Educational sessions aimed specifically at helping the resident to incorporate US into daily clinical practice.
- Components of US education spread over the entire course of residency training. A single block rotation with no integration into routine clinical practice is not sufficient.

Competency assessment can be performed using several methods, although most experts recognize that the performance of at least 150 US examinations in “critical” or “life-saving” situations promotes a minimum acceptable level of exposure. Although the completion of this set number of examinations does not, in and of itself, delineate competency, residency programs should dedicate their efforts to meeting this level of experience to allow residents the best opportunity to achieve competency.

A system should be in place at all EM residency training programs in which faculty members review a portion of the examinations performed by EM residents (via still images or video capture) to provide quality assurance and timely feedback to the residents in training. Information regarding total numbers of US examinations completed and educational progress should be made available to residents on a regular basis.

Emergency medicine ultrasound didactic education should be incorporated into the core educational program for all EM residency programs. In addition to the introductory training, longitudinal, didactic, and hands-on instruction should be provided to EM residents throughout their residency training. This may include scheduled sessions during normal EM conference hours. It is felt that a minimum of 20 hours of scheduled educational sessions should be given over the course of a 3- or 4-year EM residency training program.

**Competency Assessment** The goal of competency assessment in EM US is to ensure that all EM residents have a basic set of skills to allow for integration of US into their daily clinical practice after residency training is completed. The CORD EUCC suggests that competency assessment in EM US should be performed. The following methods are recommended tools for competency assessment in EM US during EM training.

**Assessment of US Technique** A practical examination consisting of a direct assessment of the skills necessary to obtain and record appropriate US images for the following studies:

1) FAST examination
2) Emergent cardiac imaging
3) Evaluation of the aorta for AAA
4) Identification of early intrauterine pregnancy via transabdominal and transvaginal sonography
5) Procedural guidance for ED procedures
6) Identification of gallbladder pathology
7) Detection of deep venous thrombosis
8) Recognition of hydronephrosis and determination of bladder volume
9) Detection of subcutaneous fluid collection, abscess, and foreign body
10) Identification of pneumothorax
11) Identification of ocular pathology

The practical examination should include assessment of proper machine settings, probe positioning, image acquisition, and documentation. US images obtained during the practical examination should be assessed for technical merit and not interpretative merit, including but not limited to image quality, image framing, identification of landmarks, and completeness of imaging protocol.

The practical examination can be performed on ED patients (recommended) or in a simulation setting. The practical examination may include various methods
to assess for adequacy of skill, including but not limited to Objective Structured Clinical Examination, Standardized Direct Observation Tool, and videotape of person performing US examination for later review.

Assessment of Image Interpretation
Each EM residency training program should have an educational program established providing static image or dynamic video review (preferred method) to assess competency of residents in performing and interpreting focused EM US examinations. This will allow faculty members to evaluate residents’ abilities to perform these examinations during their clinical exposure. This can be a tool to evaluate US technique and image interpretation because examinations can be checked for completion of scanning protocols, identification of anatomic and sonographic landmarks, and recognition of normal and pathologic findings. The medical decision-making process following these examinations can also be assessed.

It is the goal of this committee to develop a standardized multiple-choice examination as a nationwide question bank that the US Director or Coordinator at each EM residency program can access (in a secure manner). This test will also be used as a tool to assess resident competency in clinical decision-making based on the interpretation of images and video.

The CORD EUCC suggests that the above-mentioned competency assessment tools be used (at a minimum) at the end of each US rotation and in the last year of residency training. Different aspects of competency assessment may be performed at various intervals to allow better integration of US education into the overall EM residency education schedule. US skills may degrade over time, and competency assessment may be repeated for an individual when a significant time period has elapsed (e.g., for a resident on rotations where US is not used or encouraged) or deficiencies are identified that indicate a deterioration of skill.

CONCLUSIONS
The use of US in the management of critically ill patients will improve patient care and thus should be viewed as a required skill set for all graduating EM residents. We consider EM US skills critical to the development of an EP, and a minimum skill set should be mandatory for all graduating EM residents. The US education provided to EM residents should be structured to allow residents to incorporate US into daily clinical practice. Image acquisition and interpretation alone are insufficient. The ability to integrate findings with patient care and apply them in a busy clinical environment should be stressed.
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